arXiv:1609.09246v1 [math.AC] 29 Sep 2016

IDEAL-ADIC COMPLETION OF QUASI-EXCELLENT RINGS (AFTER GABBER)

KAZUHIKO KURANO AND KAZUMA SHIMOMOTO

Abstract. In this paper, we give a detailed proof to a result of Gabber (unpublished) on the ideal-adic completion of quasi-excellent rings, extending the previous work on Nishimura-Nishimura. As a corollary, we establish that an ideal-adic completion of an excellent ring is excellent.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we assume that all rings are commutative and possess an identity. The aim of this article is to give a detailed proof to the following theorem (see Theorem 5.1).

Main Theorem 1 (Nishimura-Nishimura, Gabber)**.** Let A be a Noetherian ring, and I an ideal of A. Assume that A is I-adically complete. Then, if A/I is quasi-excellent, so is A.

This result was proved in characteristic 0 by Nishimura-Nishimura in [12], using the resolution of singularities. More recently, the general case was settled by Gabber, using his theorem of local uniformization of quasi-excellent schemes. The idea of his proof is sketched in a letter [16] from Gabber to Laszlo. The above theorem is a special and difficult case of the *Lifting Problem*, which was formulated by Grothendieck [5, Remarque (7.4.8)]. For the precise definition of lifting problem as well as its variations, we refer the reader to Definition 2.4. As an important corollary, we obtain the following theorem (see Corollary 5.5).

Main Theorem 2. Let A be an excellent ring with an ideal $I \subset A$. Then the I-adic completion of A is an excellent ring. In particular, if A is excellent, then the formal power series ring $A[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ is excellent.

Here is an outline of the paper.

Key words and phrases. Ideal-adic completion, lifting problem, local uniformization, Nagata ring, quasi-excellent ring.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13B35, 13F25, 13F40.

In § 2, we fix notation and definitions concerning excellent rings for which we refer the reader to [9] and [10]. Then we introduce terminology related to the class of ring theoretic properties preserved under ideal-adic completion and make a table on the known results.

In § 3, we begin with the notions of quasi-excellent schemes and alteration coverings. Then we recall the recent result of Gabber on the existence of local unifromization of a quasi-excellent Noetherian scheme as an alteration covering.

In § 4, using Gabber's local uniformization theorem, we give a proof to the classical theorem of Brodmann and Rotthaus in the full generality. This result is an important step to prove the main result of this paper.

In § 5, we finish the proof of the main result after proving a number of intermediate lemmas based on the ideas explained in [12] and [16]

2. Notation and conventions

We use the following notation. Let I be an ideal of a ring A. Then denote by $V(I)$ the set of all prime ideals of A containing I. Let $D(I) := \operatorname{Spec} A \setminus V(I)$. Let A be a ring with an ideal I. We denote by $\widehat{A}^I$ the I-adic completion $\varprojlim_n A/I^n$. If $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ is a local ring, we simply denote by $\widehat{A}$ or $A^\wedge$ the $\mathfrak{m}$-adic completion $\varprojlim_n A/\mathfrak{m}^n$. Let A be an integral domain. Denote by $Q(A)$ the field of fractions of A.

Now let $\mathbf{P}$ be a ring theoretic property of local Noetherian rings (e.g., regular, normal, reduced,...). Let A be a Noetherian algebra over a field K. We say that A has *geometrically* $\mathbf{P}$ *over* K, if every local ring of $A \otimes_K L$ is $\mathbf{P}$ for every finite field extension L/K. Let $k(\mathfrak{p})$ denote the residue field of A at $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$. For the following definition, we refer the reader to [5, (7.3.1)].

Definition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a ring theoretic property of local Noetherian rings.

(1) A homomorphism of Noetherian rings $\psi : A \to B$ is said to be a $\mathbf{P}$-*homomorphism*, if ψ is flat and the fiber $B \otimes_A k(\mathfrak{p})$ is geometrically $\mathbf{P}$ over $k(\mathfrak{p})$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$.

A homomorphism of Noetherian rings is said to be a *regular* homomorphism, if it is a $\mathbf{P}$-homomorphism with $\mathbf{P}$ being regular.

(2) A Noetherian ring A is said to be a $\mathbf{P}$-*ring*, if for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} A$, the natural homomorphism $A_\mathfrak{p} \to \widehat{A_\mathfrak{p}}$ is a $\mathbf{P}$-homomorphism.

For the following definition, we refer the reader to [9] and [10].

Definition 2.2. Let A be a Noetherian ring.

(1) We say that A is a *G-ring* (resp. *Z-ring*), if A is a $\mathbf{P}$-ring with $\mathbf{P}$ being regular (resp. normal).

(2) We say that A is a *J_2-ring*, if the regular locus of every finitely generated A-algebra is Zariski open.

(3) We say that A is *catenary*, if for any pair of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ of R, let $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_m = \mathfrak{q}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}'_0 \subset \mathfrak{p}'_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}'_n = \mathfrak{q}$ be saturated strictly increasing chains of prime ideals between $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$, then we have $m = n$. We say that A is *universally catenary*, if every finitely generated A-algebra is catenary.

A Noetherian ring is called *quasi-excellent*, if it is a G-ring and a J_2-ring. A Noetherian ring is called *excellent*, if it is a G-ring, a J_2-ring and universally catenary.

Any field, the ring of integers and complete local rings are excellent rings. Polynomial rings over a G-ring are also G-rings in [9, Theorem 77]. One can prove the same for Z-rings in the same way. Therefore, the category of G-rings (that of Z-rings, that of J_2-rings or that of universally catenary rings) is closed under polynomial extensions, homomorphic images and localizations.

It is known that any semi-local G-ring is a J_2-ring (cf. [9, Theorem 76]). Hence semi-local G-rings are quasi-excellent.

Definition 2.3. Let A be a Noetherian ring.

(1) We say that A is an *N_2-ring* (or *Japanese ring*), if A is an integral domain and the integral closure of A in any finite field extension of $Q(A)$ is module-finite over A, where $Q(A)$ is the field of fractions of A.
(2) We say that A is a *Nagata ring* (or *universally Japanese ring*), if for any $P \in \operatorname{Spec} A$ the integral domain A/P is an N_2-ring.

It is known that quasi-excellent rings are Nagata rings (cf. [9, Theorem 78]). The category of Nagata rings is closed under polynomial extensions, homomorphic images and localizations (cf. [9, Theorem 72]).

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathbf{P}'$ be a ring theoretic property of Noetherian rings.

(1) We say that the *Lifting Property* (LP for short) holds for $\mathbf{P}'$, if the following condition holds. For any Noetherian ring A with an ideal I such that A is I-adically complete, if A/I is $\mathbf{P}'$, then A is $\mathbf{P}'$.
(2) We say that the *Local Lifting Property* (LLP for short) holds for $\mathbf{P}'$, if the lifting property holds for any semi-local ring A.
(3) We say that the *Power Series Extension Property* ($PSEP$ for short) holds for $\mathbf{P}'$, if the following condition holds. For any Noetherian ring A, if A is $\mathbf{P}'$, then the formal power series ring $A[[x]]$ is $\mathbf{P}'$.
(4) We say that the *Ideal-adic Completion Property* (ICP for short) holds for $\mathbf{P}'$, if the following condition holds. For any Noetherian ring A with an ideal I, if A is $\mathbf{P}'$, then the I-adic completion of A is $\mathbf{P}'$.

For any property $\mathbf{P}'$, it is easy to see the following implications (see Corollary 5.5 and its proof):

$$LLP \Longleftarrow LP \Longrightarrow PSEP.$$

If the category of Notherian rings having the property $\mathbf{P}'$ is closed under homomorphic images, then the implication

$$PSEP \Longrightarrow ICP$$

holds.

If the category of Notherian rings having the property $\mathbf{P}'$ is closed under polynomial extension, then the implication

$$PSEP \Longleftarrow ICP$$

holds.

In this article, we consider $\mathbf{P}'$ as one of the following properties:

G-ring, quasi-excellent, excellent, universally catenary, Nagata, Nagata Z-ring.

Let us remark that the category of Noetherian rings with $\mathbf{P}'$ as above is closed under polynomial extensions and homomorphic images.

In 1970, Seydi [15] proved that PSEP holds for $\mathbf{P}'$ = universally catenary. In 1975, Marot [8] proved that LP holds for $\mathbf{P}'$ = Nagata. In 1979, Rotthaus [13] proved that LLP holds for $\mathbf{P}'$ = G-ring. Hence, LLP holds for $\mathbf{P}'$ = quasi-excellent. In 1981, Nishimura [11] found an example, and proved that ICP does not hold for $\mathbf{P}'$ = G-ring. In 1982, Greco [4] found an example, and proved that LLP does not hold for both $\mathbf{P}'$ = universally catenary and $\mathbf{P}'$ = excellent. In 1987, Nishimura-Nishimura ([12, Theorem A]) proved that LP holds for $\mathbf{P}'$ = Nagata Z-ring. They also proved that LP holds for $\mathbf{P}'$ = quasi-excellent, if the ring contains a field of characteristic 0 ([12, Theorem B]). Recently, Gabber [16] proved that LP holds for $\mathbf{P}'$ = quasi-excellent in general. The aim of this paper is to complete the following table by giving the details of Gabber's theorem.

	LLP	LP	PSEP	ICP
G-ring	◯	×	×	×
quasi-excellent	◯	◯	◯	◯
excellent	×	×	◯	◯
universally catenary	×	×	◯	◯
Nagata	◯	◯	◯	◯
Nagata Z-ring	◯	◯	◯	◯

Here, remark that PSEP and ICP hold for $\mathbf{P}'$ = excellent, since they hold for both $\mathbf{P}'$ = quasi-excellent and $\mathbf{P}'$ = universally catenary.

3. Gabber's local uniformization theorem for quasi-excellent schemes

In this section, let us recall a recent result on the existence of local uniformizations for quasi-excellent schemes, due to Gabber.

Definition 3.1. A Noetherian scheme X is *quasi-excellent* (resp. *excellent*), if X admits an open affine covering, each of which is the spectrum of a quasi-excellent ring (resp. an excellent ring). Once this condition holds, then any other open affine covering has the same property.

We introduce the notion of alteration covering of schemes.

Definition 3.2. In this definition, we assume that all schemes are Notherian, and all morphisms are dominant, generically finite morphisms of finite type between Notherian schemes.

Let Y be a Noetherian integral scheme. We say that a finite family of scheme maps $\{\phi_i : X_i \to Y\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ is an *alteration covering* of Y, if there exist a proper morphism $f : V \to Y$, a Zariski open covering $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} V_i$, together with a family of scheme maps $\{\psi_i : V_i \to X_i\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ such that the following diagram commutes for each $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_i & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow \psi_i & & \downarrow f \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & Y \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

where $V_i \to V$ is the natural open immersion.

If X_i is a regular integral scheme for each $i = 1, \dots, m$, we say that $\{\phi_i : X_i \to Y\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ is a *regular alteration covering* of Y.

We refer the reader to [7] for alteration coverings or the alteration topology in the general situation. The definiton adopted in [7] looks slightly different from the above one. However, we may resort to [7, Théorèm 3.2.1; EXPOSÉ II]. For the convenience of readers, notice that the alteration topology is the same as Voevodsky's h-topology in the Noetherian case (see [2] for the proof of this fact). Let us state Gabber's local uniformization theorem for which we refer the reader to [7].

Theorem 3.3 (Gabber)**.** *Assume that Y is a quasi-excellent Noetherian integral scheme. Then there exists a regular alteration covering of Y.*

Using the valuative criterion for proper maps and Gabber's theorem, we obtain the following corollary. That is the reason why the above theorem is called "local uniformization theorem" for quasi-excellent schemes.

Corollary 3.4. *Assume that A is a quasi-excellent domain. Then there exists a finite field extension $K/Q(A)$ such that if R is a valuation domain satisfying $Q(R) = K$ and $A \subset R$, then there exists a regular domain B such that $A \subset B \subset R$ and B is a finitely generated A-algebra.*

4. A generalization of a theorem of Brodmann and Rotthaus

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem. It was proved by Brodmann and Rotthaus [1] for rings containing a field of characteristic zero, using the resolution of singularities by Hironaka. Let $\mathrm{rad}(A)$ be the Jacobson radical of a ring A.

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a Noetherian ring with an ideal $I \subset \mathrm{rad}(A)$. Assume that A/I is quasi-excellent and A is a G-ring. Then A is a J_2-ring. In other words, A is quasi-excellent.*

We need to prove a number of lemmas before proving this theorem. Let X be a Noetherian scheme. We denote by $\mathrm{Reg}(X)$ the regular locus of X, and put $\mathrm{Sing}(X) = X \setminus \mathrm{Reg}(X)$. Let us recall that a subset of a Noetherian scheme is open if and only if it is constructible and stable under generalization of points [6, II. Ex. 3.17, 3.18].

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be a Noetherian ring with an ideal $I \subset A$ and let $\pi : X \to \mathrm{Spec}\, A$ be a scheme map of finite type. Assume that A/I is a J_2-ring. Then $\mathrm{Reg}(X) \cap \pi^{-1}\big(V(I)\big)$ is open in $\pi^{-1}\big(V(I)\big)$.*

Proof. For the proof, we may assume that X is an affine scheme. Let B be an A-algebra of finite type and put $X = \mathrm{Spec}\, B$. First, let us prove the following lemma:

Claim 4.3. *Assume that $Z \subset V(IB)$ is a closed subset. Then $\mathrm{Reg}(X) \cap Z$ is constructible in Z.*

Proof of the claim. We prove it by Noetherian induction. So let us suppose that any proper closed subset of Z satisfies the conclusion of the claim. We may assume that $Z = V(\mathfrak{q})$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \supset IB$. Since A/I is a J_2-ring, we have

$$\mathrm{Reg}\big(\mathrm{Spec}(B/\mathfrak{q})\big) \text{ is a non-empty open set in } \mathrm{Spec}(B/\mathfrak{q}). \tag{4.1}$$

Assume that $\mathfrak{q} \notin \mathrm{Reg}(X)$. Then we have $\mathrm{Reg}(X) \cap Z = \emptyset$ and this is evidently constructible. Next, assume that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Reg}(X)$. Then since $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a regular local ring, the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{q}B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is generated by a regular sequence. This together with (4.1) implies the following:

- There exists an element $f \in B \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}B[f^{-1}]$ is generated by a $B[f^{-1}]$-regular sequence and $B[f^{-1}]/\mathfrak{q}B[f^{-1}]$ is a regular ring.

Hence if $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} B$ is taken such that $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\mathfrak{q})$ and $\mathfrak{p} \notin V(\mathfrak{q}+fB)$, then $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular. We have the decomposition:

$$\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap V(\mathfrak{q}) = \Big(\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap V(\mathfrak{q}+fB) \Big) \cup \Big(\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap \big(V(\mathfrak{q}) \setminus V(\mathfrak{q}+fB) \big) \Big)$$

By Noetherian induction hypothesis, we see that $\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap V(\mathfrak{q}+fB)$ is constructible. On the other hand, we have $\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap \big(V(\mathfrak{q}) \setminus V(\mathfrak{q}+fB) \big) = V(\mathfrak{q}) \setminus V(\mathfrak{q}+fB)$ and this is clearly open in $V(\mathfrak{q})$. We conclude that $\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap V(\mathfrak{q})$ is constructible, which finishes the proof of the claim. □

We can finish the proof of the lemma in the following way. It is easy to show that $\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap \pi^{-1}\big(V(I)\big)$ is closed under taking generalizations of points inside $\pi^{-1}\big(V(I)\big)$. Combining Claim 4.3, we conclude that $\operatorname{Reg}(X) \cap \pi^{-1}\big(V(I)\big)$ is open in $\pi^{-1}\big(V(I)\big)$. □

Using this lemma, we can prove the following crucial fact.

Lemma 4.4. *Let B be a Noetherian domain and let us choose $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} B$ and an ideal $J \subset B$. Assume that $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a G-ring and B/J is a J_2-ring. Then there exists $b \in B \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ together with an alteration covering $\{\phi_{b,i} : X_{b,i} \to \operatorname{Spec} B[b^{-1}]\}_i$ such that*

$$\phi_{b,i}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Spec} \frac{B[b^{-1}]}{JB[b^{-1}]} \right) \subset \operatorname{Reg}(X_{b,i}) \tag{4.2}$$

for all i.

Proof. Since $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a quasi-excellent local domain by assumption, there exists a regular alteration covering $\{\phi_i : X_i \to \operatorname{Spec} B_{\mathfrak{q}}\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$, together with a proper map $f : V \to \operatorname{Spec} B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ with $V := \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ and $\{\psi_i : V_i \to X\}_i$ by Theorem 3.3. By Chow's lemma, we may assume that $f : V \to \operatorname{Spec} B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is projective. Then we can find an element $\tilde{b} \in B \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ and an alteration covering $\{\phi_{\tilde{b},i} : X_{\tilde{b},i} \to \operatorname{Spec} B[\tilde{b}^{-1}]\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ such that $\phi_i = \phi_{\tilde{b},i} \otimes_{B[\tilde{b}^{-1}]} B_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

Remark that, if $\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(s)$ is a generalization of $\mathfrak{q}B[\tilde{b}^{-1}]$, then we have $s \in X_i$. In particular,

$$\mathcal{O}_{X_{\tilde{b},i},s} \text{ is regular.} \tag{4.3}$$

Let us put

$$Z_{\tilde{b}} := \operatorname{Spec}\left(\frac{B[\tilde{b}^{-1}]}{JB[\tilde{b}^{-1}]} \right), \text{ which is a closed subset of } \operatorname{Spec} B[\tilde{b}^{-1}].$$

Then we find that

$$W_{\tilde{b},i} := \phi_{\tilde{b},i}^{-1}(Z_{\tilde{b}}) \setminus \operatorname{Reg}(X_{\tilde{b},i}) \text{ is closed in } \phi_{\tilde{b},i}^{-1}(Z_{\tilde{b}})$$

by Lemma 4.2. Hence $W_{\tilde{b},i}$ is a closed subset of $X_{\tilde{b},i}$ and thus, $\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(W_{\tilde{b},i})$ is a constructible subset of $\operatorname{Spec} B[\tilde{b}^{-1}]$ by Chevalley's theorem (cf. [9, Theorem 6]). From this, it follows that the Zariski closure $\overline{\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(W_{\tilde{b},i})}$ of $\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(W_{\tilde{b},i})$ is the set of all points of $\operatorname{Spec} B[\tilde{b}^{-1}]$ that are obtained as a specialization of a point of $\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(W_{\tilde{b},i})$.

Assume that we have $\mathfrak{q} \in \overline{\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(W_{\tilde{b},i})}$. Then there is a point $s \in W_{\tilde{b},i}$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \in \overline{\{\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(s)\}}$. By (4.3), the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\tilde{b},i},s}$ is regular. Hence $s \in \mathrm{Reg}(X_{\tilde{b},i})$, which is a contradiction to $s \in W_{\tilde{b},i}$. Thus, we must get $\mathfrak{q} \notin \overline{\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(W_{\tilde{b},i})}$.

Let us choose an element $0 \neq b \in B$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} B[b^{-1}] \subset \operatorname{Spec} B[\tilde{b}^{-1}]$ and $\operatorname{Spec} B[b^{-1}] \cap \overline{\phi_{\tilde{b},i}(W_{\tilde{b},i})} = \emptyset$ for all i. Consider the fiber square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{b,i} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{b,i}} & \operatorname{Spec} B[b^{-1}] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\tilde{b},i} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{\tilde{b},i}} & \operatorname{Spec} B[\tilde{b}^{-1}] \end{array}$$

Then we have $W_{\tilde{b},i} \cap X_{b,i} = \emptyset$. Let us put

$$Z_b := \operatorname{Spec}\left(\frac{B[b^{-1}]}{JB[b^{-1}]}\right) \text{ and } W_{b,i} := \phi_{b,i}^{-1}(Z_b) \setminus \mathrm{Reg}(X_{b,i}).$$

Since $Z_b = Z_{\tilde{b}} \cap \operatorname{Spec} B[b^{-1}]$, we get

$$W_{b,i} = \left(\phi_{\tilde{b},i}^{-1}(Z_{\tilde{b}}) \cap X_{b,i}\right) \setminus \mathrm{Reg}(X_{b,i}) = \left(\phi_{\tilde{b},i}^{-1}(Z_{\tilde{b}}) \cap X_{b,i}\right) \setminus \mathrm{Reg}(X_{\tilde{b},i}) = W_{\tilde{b},i} \cap X_{b,i} = \emptyset.$$

This proves the assertion (4.2). □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\{\phi_i : X_i \to Y\}_{i=1,\dots,m}$ be an alteration covering and let $y_1, \dots, y_l$ be a sequence of points in Y such that $y_{j+1} \in \overline{\{y_j\}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$, where $\overline{\{y_j\}}$ denotes the Zariski closure of $\{y_j\}$ in Y. Then there exist i and a sequence of points $x_1, \dots, x_l$ in X_i such that $\phi_i(x_j) = y_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $x_{j+1} \in \overline{\{x_j\}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$.*

Proof. By assumption, for $i = 1, \dots, m$, there is a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_i & \longrightarrow & V \\ \downarrow \psi_i & & \downarrow f \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & Y \end{array}$$

where $V = \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ is a Zariski open covering and $V \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is a proper surjective map. Let us find a sequence $v_1, \dots, v_l$ in V such that v_j maps to y_j for $j = 1, \dots, l$ and $v_{j+1} \in \overline{\{v_j\}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, l-1$. First, lift y_1 to a point $v_1 \in V$ via f. Suppose that a sequence $v_1, \dots, v_t$ in V has been found such that $f(v_j) = y_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, t$ and $v_{j+1} \in \overline{\{v_j\}}$ for $j = 1, \dots, t-1$. So let us find $v_{t+1} \in V$ with the required condition. Since f is a proper map, $f(\overline{\{v_t\}})$ is equal to $\overline{\{y_t\}}$. Hence $y_{t+1} \in f(\overline{\{v_t\}})$ and there is a lift v_{t+1} of y_{t+1} such that $v_{t+1} \in \overline{\{v_t\}}$. Here, we have $v_l \in V_i$ for some i. Since V_i is closed under generalizations, $v_1, \dots, v_l$ are contained in V_i. Then we see that the sequence $x_1 := \psi_i(v_1), \dots, x_l := \psi_i(v_l)$ in X_i satisfies the required conditions. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. In order to show that A is a J_2-ring, it is enough to prove that the regular locus of any finite A-algebra is open (cf. [9, Theorem73]). Let B be a Noetherian domain that is finitely generated as an A-module. It suffices to prove that $\mathrm{Reg}(B)$ contains a non-empty open subset of $\operatorname{Spec} B$ by Nagata's topological criterion (cf. [10, Theorem 24.4]). Since $A \to B$ is module-finite, we have $IB \subset \mathrm{rad}(B)$ and B/IB is quasi-excellent. Since B is a G-ring, $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is quasi-excellent for any $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} B$ by [9, Theorem 76]. By Lemma 4.4, there exists $b_{\mathfrak{q}} \in B \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ and an alteration covering $\{\phi_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i} : X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i} \to \operatorname{Spec} B[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}]\}_i$ such that

$$\phi_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}^{-1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}\frac{B[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}]}{IB[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}]}\right) \subset \mathrm{Reg}(X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}) \text{ for all } i. \tag{4.4}$$

There exists a family of elements $b_1, \ldots, b_s \in \{b_{\mathfrak{q}} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} B\}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Spec} B = \operatorname{Spec} B[b_1^{-1}] \cup \cdots \cup \operatorname{Spec} B[b_s^{-1}]. \tag{4.5}$$

There exists a finite family of alteration coverings $\{\phi_{b_j,i} : X_{b_j,i} \to \operatorname{Spec} B[b_j^{-1}]\}_i$ with the same property as (4.4) by letting $b_j = b_{\mathfrak{q}}$. By the lemma of generic flatness (cf. [9, (22.A)]), we can find an element $0 \neq c \in B$ such that the induced map

$$\phi_{b_j,i}^{-1}\big(\operatorname{Spec} B[b_j^{-1}c^{-1}]\big) \to \operatorname{Spec} B[b_j^{-1}c^{-1}] \text{ is flat for all } i \text{ and } j.$$

If we can show that $B[c^{-1}]$ is regular, then the proof is finished.

Let us pick $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} B$ such that $c \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then we want to prove that $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular. Let us choose a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Spec} B$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{m}$. Since $IB \subset \mathrm{rad}(B)$, it follows that $IB \subset \mathfrak{m}$. By (4.5), there exists j such that $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{m} \in \operatorname{Spec} B[b_j^{-1}]$. By Lemma 4.5, there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X_{b_j,i}$ for some i such that $x_2 \in \overline{\{x_1\}}$, $\phi_{b_j,i}(x_1) = \mathfrak{p}$ and $\phi_{b_j,i}(x_2) = \mathfrak{m}$. By (4.4) together with the fact $IB \subset \mathfrak{m}$, the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X_{b_j,i},x_2}$ is regular. Since x_1 is a generalization of x_2, $\mathcal{O}_{X_{b_j,i},x_1}$ is also a regular local ring. Here, $B_{\mathfrak{p}} \to \mathcal{O}_{X_{b_j,i},x_1}$ is flat, as $c \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is regular, as desired. □

5. Lifting problem for quasi-excellent rings

In this section, we shall prove the main theorem:

Theorem 5.1 (Nishimura-Nishimura, Gabber)**.** *Let A be a Noetherian ring, and I an ideal of A. Assume that A is I-adically complete. Then, if A/I is quasi-excellent, so is A.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. Let A be a Noetherian ring, and I an ideal of A. Suppose that A is I-adically complete, A/I is quasi-excellent, but A is not quasi-excellent.

Step 1. We shall reduce this problem to a simpler case as long as possible.

(1-1) The following are well-known facts.

- Let R be a Noetherian ring and I_1, I_2 be ideals of R such that $I_1 \supset I_2$. If R is I_1-adically complete, then R is I_2-adically complete.
- Let R be a Noetherian ring and J_1, J_2 be ideals of R. If R is J_1-adically complete, then R/J_2 is $((J_1 + J_2)/J_2)$-adically complete.

We shall use these facts without proving them.

Suppose $I = (a_1, \dots, a_t)$. Put $I_i = (a_1, \dots, a_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$ and $I_0 = (0)$. Remark that A/I_i is (I_{i+1}/I_i)-adically complete, and

$$(A/I_i)/(I_{i+1}/I_i) = A/I_{i+1}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, t-1$. Here, I_{i+1}/I_i is a principal ideal of A/I_i. Remember that A/I_t is quasi-excellent, but A/I_0 is not so. Therefore, there exists i such that A/I_{i+1} is quasi-excellent, but A/I_i is not so.

Replacing A/I_i and I_{i+1}/I_i with A and I respectively, we may assume that

(A1) I is the principal ideal generated by some $x \neq 0$, that is, $I = (x)$.

(1-2) We put

$$\mathcal{F} = \{J \mid A/J \text{ is not quasi-excellent}\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ contains (0), the set $\mathcal{F}$ is not empty and there exists a maximal element J_0 in $\mathcal{F}$. Replacing A/J_0 by A, we may assume that

(A2) if $J \neq (0)$, then A/J is quasi-excellent.

(1-3) By Theorem 4.1, A is not a G-ring. There exist prime ideals P and Q of A such that $P \supset Q$, and the generic fiber of

$$A_P/QA_P \longrightarrow (A_P/QA_P)^\wedge$$

is not geometrically regular. On the other hand, if $Q \neq (0)$, the above map is a regular homomorphism by (A2). Therefore we know $Q = (0)$, that is, A is an integral domain.

Since quasi-excellent rings are Nagata [9, Theorem 78], we know that A/xA is a Nagata ring. Since the lifting property holds for Nagata rings by Marot [8], A is a Nagata domain. Let $\overline{A}$ be the integral closure of A in $Q(A)$. Then $\overline{A}$ is module-finite over A.

By Greco's theorem [3, Theorem 3.1], $\overline{A}$ is not quasi-excellent, since A is not so. Here $\overline{A}$ is $x\overline{A}$-adically complete and $\overline{A}$ satisfies (A2). Replacing $\overline{A}$ with A, we further assume that

(A3) A is a Nagata normal domain.

(1-4) Since A/xA is quasi-excellent, A/xA is a Nagata Z-ring. Since the lifting property holds for Nagata Z-rings by Nishimura-Nishimura [12, Theorem A], A is also a Nagata Z-ring. Since A is a normal Z-ring, $\widehat{A_P}$ is a local normal domain for any prime ideal P of A. Then by [10, Theorem 31.6], A is universally catenary. Thus we know

(A4) A is a Z-ring and universally catenary.

Step 2. We assume (A1), (A2), (A3) and (A4).

By Theorem 4.1, A is not a G-ring. By [9, Theorem 75], there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of A such that the homomorphism $A_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is not regular. Consider the fibers of $A_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. By (A2), the fibers except for the generic fiber are geometrically regular. So we concentrate on the generic fiber.

Let L be a finite algebraic extension of $Q(A)$, where $Q(A)$ is the field of fractions of A. Let B_L be the integral closure of A in L. Remark that B_L is a finite A-module by (A3).

Consider the following fiber squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L & = & L & \longrightarrow & L \otimes_A \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{m}}} & = & \prod_{\mathfrak{n}} L \otimes_{B_L} \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ B_L & \longrightarrow & B_L \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{m}} & \longrightarrow & B_L \otimes_A \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{m}}} & = & \prod_{\mathfrak{n}} \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathfrak{m}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{m}}} & & \end{array}$$

Here $\mathfrak{n}$ runs over all the maximal ideals of B_L lying over $\mathfrak{m}$.

We want to discuss whether $L \otimes_{B_L} \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ is regular or not for L and $\mathfrak{n}$.

Recall that $(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}/x(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a G-ring since A/xA is quasi-excellent. Let $(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be the $x(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}$-adic completion of $(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}$. By the local lifting property for G-rings (Rotthaus [13]),

$$(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \text{ is a } G\text{-ring.} \tag{5.1}$$

Hence, the homomorphism $(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \to \widehat{(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}} = \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ is regular and $\mathrm{Sing}\big((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}\big)$ is a closed subset of $\mathrm{Spec}(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Therefore, $L \otimes_{B_L} (B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is regular if and only if $L \otimes_{B_L} \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ is regular. For a finite algebraic extension L of $Q(A)$, we put

$$\mathfrak{S}_L = \left\{ \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \text{ is a minimal prime ideal of } \mathrm{Sing}\big((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}\big) \text{ such that } \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \cap B_L = (0), \\ \text{where } \mathfrak{n} \text{ is a maximal ideal of } B_L. \end{array} \right\}$$

Here, $L \otimes_{B_L} (B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is not regular for some L and $\mathfrak{n}$, since A is not a G-ring. Therefore, $\mathfrak{S}_L$ is not empty for some L. We put

$$h_0 = \min\{\mathrm{ht}\, Q \mid Q \in \mathfrak{S}_L \text{ for some } L\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Remember that A is a Z-ring by (A4). Since B_L is a finite A-module, B_L is also a Z-ring. It is easy to see that $\widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}}$ is the completion of both $(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Since $(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}$ and $(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ are Z-rings (cf. (5.1)),

$$(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}},\ (B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \text{ and } \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}}} \text{ are local normal domains.} \tag{5.3}$$

Therefore we know

$$h_0 \geq 2. \tag{5.4}$$

We shall prove the following claim in the rest of Step 2.

Claim 5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of A. If $\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p} \leq h_0$, then $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is excellent.*

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of A such that $0 < \operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p} \leq h_0$. By (A4), A is universally catenary. It is enough to prove that $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a G-ring. By (A2) and [9, Theorem 75], it is enough to show that the generic fiber of $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \to \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is geometrically regular. Let L be a finite algebraic extension of $Q(A)$, and B_L be the integral closure of A in L. Consider the following fiber squares.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} L & = & L & \longrightarrow & L \otimes_A \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} & = & \prod_{\mathfrak{q}} L \otimes_{B_L} \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ B_L & \longrightarrow & B_L \otimes_A A_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & B_L \otimes_A \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} & = & \prod_{\mathfrak{q}} \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ A & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} & & \end{array}$$

Here $\mathfrak{q}$ runs over all the prime ideals of B_L lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. Since A is normal, we have

$$0 < \operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q} = \operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{p} \leq h_0. \tag{5.5}$$

It is enough to show that $L \otimes_{B_L} \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}}}$ is regular. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a maximal ideal of B_L such that $\mathfrak{n} \supset \mathfrak{q}$. Let $\mathfrak{q}^*$ be a minimal prime ideal of $\mathfrak{q}(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Since $(B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}} \to (B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is flat, we have $\mathfrak{q}^* \cap B_L = \mathfrak{q}$. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & (B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & ((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{q}^*} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & (((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{q}^*})^{\wedge} \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \alpha & & \uparrow \hat{\alpha} \\ B_L & \longrightarrow & (B_L)_{\mathfrak{n}} & \longrightarrow & (B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}}} \end{array}$$

The map α as above is a flat local homomorphism. Since the closed fiber of α is of dimension 0, we have $\dim(B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}} = \dim((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{q}^*}$. In particular

$$\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q} = \operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q}^*. \tag{5.6}$$

By (5.1), β is a regular homomorphism and $\operatorname{Sing}\big((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}\big)$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Spec}(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$.

Let $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be the defining ideal of $\operatorname{Sing}\big((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}\big)$ satisfying $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}} = \sqrt{c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}}$. We put $T = (((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}})_{\mathfrak{q}^*})^{\wedge}$. Since β is a regular homomorphism, $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}T$ is a defining ideal of $\operatorname{Sing}(T)$. Suppose

$$c^*_{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},s},$$

where $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},i}$'s are prime ideals of $(B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ such that $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},i} \not\supset \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},j}$ if $i \neq j$.

One of the following three cases occurs:

Case 1. If none of $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},i}$'s is contained in $\mathfrak{q}^*$, then $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}T = T$.

Case 2. If $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},i} = \mathfrak{q}^*$ for some i, then $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}T = \mathfrak{q}^*T$.

Case 3. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},t}$ are properly contained in $\mathfrak{q}^*$, and $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},t+1}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},s}$ are not contained in $\mathfrak{q}^*$ for some t satisfying $1 \leq t \leq s$. Then, $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}T = \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},1}T \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},t}T$.

In any case as above, we can verify $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}T \cap B_L \neq (0)$ as follows. In Case 1, we have $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}T \cap B_L = B_L \neq (0)$. In Case 2, we have $\mathfrak{q}^*T \cap B_L = \big(\mathfrak{q}^*T \cap (B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}\big) \cap B_L = \mathfrak{q}^* \cap B_L = \mathfrak{q} \neq (0)$ by (5.5). In Case 3, suppose that $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},i}$ is properly contained in $\mathfrak{q}^*$. Then by (5.5) and (5.6), we have $\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},i} < h_0$. Since $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},i}$ is in $\operatorname{Sing}\big((B_L)^*_{\mathfrak{n}}\big)$, we have $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n},i} \cap B_L \neq (0)$ by the minimality of h_0.

Take $0 \neq b \in c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}T \cap B_L$. Since $c^*_{\mathfrak{n}}T$ is the defining ideal of $\operatorname{Sing}(T)$, $T \otimes_{B_L} B_L[b^{-1}]$ is regular. Since

$$\widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}}} \otimes_{B_L} B_L[b^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\hat{\alpha}\otimes 1} T \otimes_{B_L} B_L[b^{-1}]$$

is faithfully flat, $\widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}}} \otimes_{B_L} B_L[b^{-1}]$ is regular. Hence, $\widehat{(B_L)_{\mathfrak{q}}} \otimes_{B_L} L$ is regular. We have completed the proof of Claim 5.2.

Step 3. Here, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 5.1.

First of all, remember the following Rotthaus' Hilfssatz (cf. [12, Theorem 1.9 and Proposition 1.18] or originally [14]).

Theorem 5.3 (Rotthaus' Hilfssatz)**.** *Let B be a Noetherian ring and $\mathfrak{n} \in \operatorname{Max} B$. Assume that B is xB-adically complete Nagata ring.*

We put

$$\Gamma(\mathfrak{n}) = \{\gamma \mid \mathfrak{n} \in \gamma \subset \operatorname{Max} B,\ {}^{\#}\gamma < \infty\}.$$

For $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{n})$, we put $S_\gamma = B \setminus \cup_{\mathfrak{a}\in\gamma}\mathfrak{a}$ and $B_\gamma = S_\gamma^{-1}B$. Consider the homomorphism $B^*_\gamma \to B^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ induced by $B_\gamma \to B_{\mathfrak{n}}$, where $(\ )^*$ denotes the (x)-adic completion.

Let $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ be a minimal prime ideal of $\operatorname{Sing}(B^*_{\mathfrak{n}})$. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{n})$, we put $\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma := \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \cap B^*_\gamma$. We define

$$\Delta_\gamma(x) := \left\{\overline{Q} \cap B \mid \overline{Q} \in \operatorname{Min}_{\overline{(B^*_\gamma/\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma)}}\big(\overline{(B^*_\gamma/\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma)}/(x)\big)\right\},\quad \Delta(x) := \bigcup_{\gamma\in\Gamma(\mathfrak{n})} \Delta_\gamma(x)$$

where $\overline{(B^*_\gamma/\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma)}$ is the normalization of $B^*_\gamma/\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma$ in the field of fractions.

Assume the following two conditions:

(i) *for each $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{n})$, $\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma > 0$,*
(ii) *${}^{\#}\triangle(x) < \infty$.*

Then $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \cap B \neq (0)$ is satisfied.

We refer the reader to [12] for the proof of this theorem. We deeply use it in our proof.

Now, we start to prove Theorem 5.1.

Let L be a finite algebraic extension of $Q(A)$. Let B be the integral closure of A in L. Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be a maximal ideal of B. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a minimal prime ideal of $\operatorname{Sing}(B^*_{\mathfrak{n}})$ such that

$$\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \cap B = (0) \tag{5.7}$$

and $\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} = h_0$. We remark that such L, B, $\mathfrak{n}$, $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ certainly exist by the definition of h_0 (see (5.2)).

We define $B^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$, B_γ, B^*_γ, $\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma$, $\Delta_\gamma(x)$, $\Delta(x)$ as in Theorem 5.3. Recall that B_γ is a semi-local ring satisfying $\operatorname{Max}(B_\gamma) = \{\mathfrak{a}B_\gamma \mid \mathfrak{a} \in \gamma\}$. Since B is xB-adically complete, any maximal ideal of B contains x. Since B_γ/xB_γ is isomorphic to B^*_γ/xB^*_γ, there exists one-to-one correspondence between γ and the set of maximal ideals of B^*_γ. Let $\mathfrak{n}^*$ be the maximal ideal of B^*_γ corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}$, that is, $\mathfrak{n}^* = \mathfrak{n}B^*_\gamma$.

In the rest of this proof, we shall prove the conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 5.3. Then, it contradicts (5.7) and this completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Put $C_\gamma = B^*_\gamma/\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma$. Since A is a Nagata ring, C_γ is a Nagata ring, too. Therefore, the normalization $\overline{C_\gamma}$ is a finite C_γ-module. Note that $x \notin \mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma$ since $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \cap B = (0)$. Take $\overline{Q} \in \operatorname{Min}_{\overline{C_\gamma}}(\overline{C_\gamma}/x\overline{C_\gamma})$. Since C_γ is a universally catenary Nagata Z-ring [*], we find that $\overline{Q} \cap C_\gamma$ is a minimal prime ideal of xC_γ. Therefore, $\triangle_\gamma(x)$ defined in Theorem 5.3 coincides with

$$\{\tilde{Q} \cap B \mid \tilde{Q} \in \operatorname{Min}_{B^*_\gamma}\left(B^*_\gamma/\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma + xB^*_\gamma\right)\}.$$

Here, we shall prove the following claim.

Claim 5.4. *(1) For each $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{n})$, $\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma = h_0$.*
(2) For any $Q \in \triangle(x)$, $\operatorname{ht} Q = h_0 + 1$.

First, we shall prove (1). Consider the following homomorphisms.

$$(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{n}^*} \xrightarrow{f} B^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \xrightarrow{g} \widehat{B_{\mathfrak{n}}}, \tag{5.8}$$

where $\mathfrak{n}^* = \mathfrak{n}B^*_\gamma$. By the local lifting property for G-rings, B^*_γ is a G-ring. Since $\widehat{B_{\mathfrak{n}}} = \widehat{(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{n}^*}}$, gf is a regular homomorphism. Since g is faithfully flat, f is a regular homomorphism by [9, (33.B)] or [10, Theorem 32.1]. Since $\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{n}^*} = \mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} \cap (B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{n}^*}$,

$$\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{n}^*} \text{ is a minimal prime ideal of } \operatorname{Sing}((B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{n}^*}). \tag{5.9}$$

Furthermore, $\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$ is a minimal prime ideal of $\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma B^*_{\mathfrak{n}}$. Then, we have

$$\operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma = \operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{n}^*} = \operatorname{ht}\mathfrak{q}^*_{\mathfrak{n}} = h_0.$$

The assertion (1) has thus been proved. Since $h_0 \geq 2$ as in (5.4), the condition (i) in Theorem 5.3 follows from the above assertion (1).

Next, we prove (2). Take $Q \in \triangle_\gamma(x)$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{n})$.

We shall prove that B^*_γ is normal. For $\mathfrak{a} \in \gamma$, let $\mathfrak{a}^*$ denote the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{a}B^*_\gamma$ of B^*_γ. Here B is a normal Z-ring, since A is. Therefore, $\widehat{B_{\mathfrak{a}}}$ is a normal local domain. By the

[*] Assume that C is a universally catenary Nagata Z-domain. Let $\overline{C}$ be the normalization of C. Let Q (resp. P) be a prime ideal of $\overline{C}$ (resp. C). It is easy to see, if $Q \cap C = P$, then $\operatorname{ht} Q = \operatorname{ht} P$.

local lifting property for G-rings, $(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{a}^*}$ is a G-ring. Therefore, $(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{a}^*} \to \widehat{(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{a}^*}} = \widehat{B_{\mathfrak{a}}}$ is a regular homomorphism. Thus, $(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{a}^*}$ is normal. Hence, we know that B^*_γ is normal.

By definition, there exists $\tilde{Q} \in \mathrm{Min}_{B^*_\gamma}\left(B^*_\gamma/\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma + xB^*_\gamma\right)$ such that $Q = \tilde{Q} \cap B$. Since $B_\gamma \to B^*_\gamma$ is flat and $QB^*_\gamma = \tilde{Q}$, we have

$$\mathrm{ht}\,\tilde{Q} = \dim(B^*_\gamma)_{\tilde{Q}} = \dim(B_\gamma)_Q = \mathrm{ht}\,Q. \tag{5.10}$$

Since B^*_γ is a Noetherian normal ring, B^*_γ is the direct product of finitely many integrally closed domains. Remember that B^*_γ is universally catenary. Then we have

$$\mathrm{ht}\,\tilde{Q} = \mathrm{ht}\,\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma + 1. \tag{5.11}$$

By (5.10), (5.11) and the assertion (1) together, we obtain $\mathrm{ht}\,Q = h_0 + 1$.

We have completed the proof of Claim 5.4.

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a prime ideal of B such that $\mathrm{ht}\,\mathfrak{q} \leq h_0$. Since A is normal, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{q}\cap A) = \mathrm{ht}\,\mathfrak{q} \leq h_0$. Hence, $A_{(\mathfrak{q}\cap A)}$ is excellent by Claim 5.2. Therefore, $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is excellent. Let us remember that B/xB is quasi-excellent. Then by Lemma 4.4, there exists $b_{\mathfrak{q}} \in B \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ such that there exists an alteration covering

$$\{X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i} \xrightarrow{\phi_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}} \mathrm{Spec}(B[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}])\}_i \tag{5.12}$$

such that

$$\phi^{-1}_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}\left(\mathrm{Spec}\,\frac{B[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}]}{xB[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}]}\right) \subset \mathrm{Reg}(X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}) \tag{5.13}$$

for each i. We put

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q}} \mathrm{Spec}(B[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}]) \subset \mathrm{Spec}(B).$$

By definition, Ω is an open set that contains all the prime ideals of B of height less than or equal to h_0. Hence, the complement Ω^c contains only finitely many prime ideals of height $h_0 + 1$. If $\triangle(x)$ is contained in Ω^c, then $\triangle(x)$ must be a finite set by Claim 5.4 (2).

Thus, it suffices to prove

$$\triangle(x) \subset \Omega^c.$$

Assume the contrary. Take $Q \in \triangle(x) \cap \Omega$. Since $Q \in \triangle(x)$, there exists $\tilde{Q} \in \mathrm{Min}_{B^*_\gamma}\left(B^*_\gamma/\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma + xB^*_\gamma\right)$ such that $\tilde{Q} \cap B = Q$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{n})$. Since $Q \in \Omega$, we find that $Q \in \mathrm{Spec}(B[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}])$ for some $\mathfrak{q}$ with $\mathrm{ht}\,\mathfrak{q} \leq h_0$.

Since (5.12) is an alteration covering, we have a proper surjective generically finite map $\pi : V \to \mathrm{Spec}(B[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}])$, together with an open covering $V = \bigcup_i V_i$ and a morphism $\psi_i : V_i \to X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}$ for each i with commutative diagrams as in (3.1). Consider the following

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_i' & \subset & V' & & \\ \downarrow \psi_i' & & \downarrow f' & & \\ X'_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i} & \stackrel{\phi'_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Spec}(B^*_\gamma[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(B^*_\gamma) \\ \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \\ X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i} & \stackrel{\phi_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Spec}(B[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}]) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec}(B) \end{array}$$

We put $(\ )' = (\ ) \times_{\mathrm{Spec}\, B} \mathrm{Spec}\, B^*_\gamma$. Then, both $\tilde{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma$ are contained in $\mathrm{Spec}(B^*_\gamma[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}])$. Since $f' : V' \to \mathrm{Spec}(B^*_\gamma[b_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}])$ is proper surjective, there exist $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in V'$ such that $f'(\xi_1) = \tilde{Q}$, $f'(\xi_2) = \mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma$, and ξ_1 is a specialization of ξ_2. Since $V' = \bigcup_i V_i'$ is a Zariski open covering, we have $\xi_1 \in V_i'$ for some i. Since V_i' is closed under generalization, both ξ_1 and ξ_2 are contained in V_i'. Here, we put $\eta_1 = \psi_i'(\xi_1)$, $\eta_2 = \psi_i'(\xi_2)$ and $\zeta_1 = g(\psi_i'(\xi_1))$.

Since $x \in Q$ and $\phi_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i}(\zeta_1) = Q$, we know that $\mathcal{O}_{X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i},\zeta_1}$ is a regular local ring by (5.13). Since $B \to B^*_\gamma$ is flat, $\mathcal{O}_{X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i},\zeta_1} \to \mathcal{O}_{X'_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i},\eta_1}$ is a flat local homomorphism. Its closed fiber is the identity since the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i},\zeta_1}$ contains x. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_{X'_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i},\eta_1}$ is a regular local ring. Since η_2 is a generalization of η_1, $\mathcal{O}_{X'_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i},\eta_2}$ is also a regular local ring. Here, $(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma} \to \mathcal{O}_{X'_{b_{\mathfrak{q}},i},\eta_2}$ is flat, since $h(\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma) = (0)$ by (5.7). Therefore, $(B^*_\gamma)_{\mathfrak{q}^*_\gamma}$ is a regular local ring. It contradicts (5.9). The condition (ii) in Theorem 5.3 has been proved.

We have completed the proof of Theorem 5.1. □

Now we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.5. *Let A be an excellent ring with an ideal $I \subset A$. Then the I-adic completion of A is an excellent ring. In particular, if A is excellent, then the formal power series ring $A[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ is excellent.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{A}^I$ denote the I-adic completion of A. We want to prove that $\widehat{A}^I$ is excellent. As A is excellent by assumption, $A/I \cong \widehat{A}^I/I\widehat{A}^I$ is also excellent. In particular, it is quasi-excellent. By Theorem 5.1, $\widehat{A}^I$ is quasi-excellent. So it suffices to prove that $\widehat{A}^I$ is universally catenary. For this, let $I = (t_1, \ldots, t_m)$ with $t_i \in A$. By [15, Théorèm 1.12], the formal power series ring $A[[T_1, \ldots, T_m]]$ is universally catenary. As there is an isomorphism [10, Theorem 8.12]

$$\widehat{A}^I \cong A[[T_1, \ldots, T_m]]/(T_1 - t_1, \ldots, T_m - t_m),$$

we see that $\widehat{A}^I$ is universally catenary and hence excellent.

Finally, assume that A is excellent. Then the polynomial algebra $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is excellent, and the $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$-adic completion of $A[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is $A[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$. Hence it is excellent. This proves the corollary. □

Acknowledgement . The authors are grateful to Professor O. Gabber for permitting us to write this paper. The authors are also grateful to Professor J. Nishimura for listening to the proof of the main theorem and providing us with useful suggestions kindly.

References

[1] M. Brodmann and C. Rotthaus, *Über den regulären Ort in Ausgezeichneten Ringen*, Math. Z. **175** (1980) 81–85.

[2] T. G. Goodwillie and S. Lichtenbaum, *A cohomological bound for the h-topology*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001) 425–443.

[3] S. Greco, *Two theorems on excellent rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **60** (1976), 139–149.

[4] S. Greco, *A note on universally catenary rings*, Nagoya Math. J. **87** (1982), 95–100.

[5] A. Grothendieck, *Élements de Géométrie Algébrique IV*, Publications Math. I.H.E.S. **24** (1965).

[6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer-Verlag (1977).

[7] L. Illusie, Y. Laszlo, and F. Orgogozo, *Travaux de Gabber sur l'uniformisation locale et la cohomologie étale des schémas quasi-excellents*, Astérisque **363-364** (2014).

[8] J. Marot, *Sur les anneaux universellement japonais*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **103** (1975), 103–111.

[9] H. Matsumura, *Commutative algebra. Second edition*, . Mathematics Lecture Note Series, 56. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1980.

[10] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **8**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1986).

[11] J. Nishimura, *Ideal-adic completion of Noetherian rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **21** (1981), 153–169.

[12] J. Nishimura and T. Nishimura, *Ideal-adic completion of Noetherian rings II*, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi Nagata (1987) 453–467.

[13] C. Rotthaus, *Komplettierung semilokaler quasiausgezeichneter Ringe*, Nagoya Math. J. **76** (1979), 173–180.

[14] C. Rotthaus, *Zur Komplettierung ausgezeichneter Ringe*, Math. Ann. **253** (1980), 213–226.

[15] H. Seydi, *Anneaux henséliens et conditions de chaînes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **98** (1970) 9–31.

[16] A letter from O. Gabber to Y. Laszlo (2007).

Department of Mathematics, School of Science and Technology, Meiji University, Higashimata 1-1-1, Tama-ku, Kawasaki 214-8571, Japan

E-mail address: kurano@isc.meiji.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 156-8550, Japan

E-mail address: shimomotokazuma@gmail.com